Ch. 12 Introduction to Time Series Analysis

(February 27, 2018)



Time judged all

1 Introduction

A *time series* is a sequence of observations taken sequentially in time. Many sets of data appear as time series: a monthly sequence of the quantity of goods shipped from a factory, a weekly series of the number of road accents, hourly observations made on the yield of a chemical process, and so on. Examples of time series abound in such fields as economics, business, engineering, the natural and the social science.

Example. (White-Noise data, no correlation)





Example. (Stationary data, correlation in level)



2

Ins.of Economics,NSYSU,Taiwan

In real economic and financial data, many time series observation are stationary but with possible break, and that even they are apparently not stationary.



Example. (Non-Stationary data, Linear Trend)



3

Ch.12



Example. (Non-Stationary data, Stochastic Trend (Random walk or Unit root))



An intrinsic feature of time series is that, typically, adjacent observation are *dependent*. The nature of this dependence among observations of a time series if of great considerable practical interest. Time series analysis is considered with techniques for the analysis of this dependence. This requires the development of stochastic and dynamic models for time series data.





Example. (Stationary data, correlation in variance (Variance Clustering, ARCH))

1.1 Dynamic Probability Model

The analysis of experimental data that have been observed at different points in time leads to new and unique problems in statistical modeling and inference. The obvious correlation introduced by the observations of adjacent points in time can severely restrict the applicability of the many conventional statistical method traditionally dependent on the assumption that these adjacent observations are independent and identically distributed. The systematic approach by which one goes about answering the mathematical and statistical questions posed by these time *correlation* is commonly referred as *time series analysis*. Time series analysis is the theory of stochastic processes dealing with system which develop in time in accordance with probabilistic laws.

A particularly important aspect of real observable phenomena, which the random variables concept cannot accommodate, is their *time dimension*; the concept of random variable is essential static. A number of economic phenomena for which we need to formulate probability models come in the form of dynamic processes for which we have discrete sequence of observations in time. Observed data referring to economic variables such as inflation, national income, money stock, represent examples where the *time dependency* might be very important. The problem we have to face is extend the simple probability model,

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \{ f(x;\theta), \theta \in \mathbf{\Theta} \},\$$

to one which enables us to model *dynamic phenomena*. We have already moved in this direction by proposing the random vector probability model

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \{ f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_T; \theta), \theta \in \mathbf{\Theta} \}.$$

The way we viewed this model so far has been as representing different characteristics of the phenomenon in question in the form of the jointly distributed r.v.'s $X_1, X_2, ..., X_T$. If we reinterpret this model as representing the same characteristic but at successive points in time then this can be viewed as a dynamic probability model. With this as a starting point let us consider the dynamic probability model in the context of $(S, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$.

2 The Concept of a Time Series

The natural way to make the concept of a random variable dynamic is to extend its domain by attaching a date to the elements of the sample space S.

Definition

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ be a probability space, let \mathcal{T} be an index set of real numbers and define the function $\mathbf{x}(\cdot, \cdot)$ by $\mathbf{x}(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}$. The ordered sequence of random variables $\{X(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is called a time series.¹

This definition suggests that for a time series $\{X(\cdot,t) \in \mathcal{T}\}$, for each $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $\{X(\cdot,t) \text{ represents a random variables on } S$. On the other hand, for each $s \in S$, $\{X(s, \cdot) \text{ represent a function of } t \text{ which we call a realization of the process. } X(s,t) \text{ for a given } s \text{ and } t \text{ is just a real number.}$

In most economic and business applications, we only observe *one* realization of the time series. Such a realization is called a sample path. The goal of time series analysis is to make inference of the series based on the observed (one) realization.

Three main elements of a time series $\{X(\cdot, t) \ t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ are:

- (a). its range space (sometimes called the state space),² usually \mathbb{R} ;
- (b). the index \mathcal{T} , usually one of $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty), \mathcal{Z} = \{..., 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and
- (c). the dependence structure of the r.v.'s $\{X(\cdot,t), t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ itself (level) or even the second moment of $X(\cdot,t)$ (variation).

In what follows a (discrete) times series will be denoted by $\{X(t), t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ (s is dropped). We will concern mainly exclusively with *discrete time series*, that is, the index set \mathcal{T} is a countable set such as $\mathcal{T} = \{..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ...\}$, we reserve the notation $\{X(t), t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ for continuous time series (which is also called stochastic process). Hence, we use $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ in the remaining analysis.

¹Since a time series determine the joint density of $X_1, X_2, ..., X_T$, the marginal density of $X_t, t = 1, 2, ..., T$ is not necessary to be identical.

²In the function y = f(x), x is referred to as the *argument* of the function, and y is called the *value* of the function. We shall also alternatively refer x as the *independent variable* and y as the *dependent variable*. The set of all permissible value that x can take in a given context is known as the *domain* of the function. The value into which an x value is mapped is called the *image* of that x value. The set of all images is called the *range* of the function, which is the set of all values that y variable will take.

2.1 The Joint Distribution of a Time Series in a Finite Time Horizon

The dependence structure of $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}\)$, in direct analogy with the case of a random vector, should be determined by the joint distribution of the process. The question arises, however, since \mathcal{T} is commonly an infinite set, do we need an *infinite dimensional distribution* to define the structure of the process?

This question was tackled by Kolmogorov (1933) who showed that when the time series satisfies certain regularity conditions the answer is definitely "no". In particular, if we define the "tentative" joint distribution of the process for the subset $(t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_T)$ of \mathcal{T} by $F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T}) = Pr(X_{t_1} \leq x_1, X_{t_2} \leq x_2, ..., X_{t_T} \leq x_T)$, then if the time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ satisfies the conditions:

- (a). symmetry: $F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T}) = F(x_{t_{j_1}}, x_{t_{j_2}}, ..., x_{t_{j_T}})$ where j1, j2, ..., jT is any permutation of the indices 1, 2, ..., T (i.e. reshuffling the ordering of the index does not change the distribution).
- (b). compatibility: $\lim_{x_T\to\infty} F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T}) = F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_{T-1}})$ (i.e. the dimensionality of the joint distribution can be reduced by marginalization)

there exist a probability space $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ and a time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ defined on it whose finite dimensional distribution is the distribution $F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T})$ as defined above. That is, the probability structure of the time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is completely specified by the joint distribution of $F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T})$ for all values of T (a positive integer) and any subset $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_T)$ of \mathcal{T} .

2.2 The First and Second Moment of a Time Series

Given that, for a specific t, X_t is a random variable (in S), we can denote its distribution and density function by $F(x_t)$ and $f(x_t)$ respectively. Moreover the mean, variance and higher moments of X_t (as a r.v.) can be defined as standard form as follows.

 $\mathfrak{Definition}.$ (Mean, Variance, and Higher Moments of X_t .)

$$E(X_t) = \int_{x_t} x_t f(x_t) dx_t = \mu_t,$$

$$E(X_t - \mu_t)^2 = \int_{x_t} (x_t - \mu_t)^2 f(x_t) dx_t = \gamma_t^2, \text{ and}$$

$$E(X_t^r) = \mu_{rt}, \ r \ge 1,$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

As we can see, these numerical characteristic of X_t are in general function of t, given that at each $t \in \mathcal{T}$, X_t has a different distribution $F(x_t)$.

$$\gamma_{i,j} = E[(X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j)], \ i, j \in \mathcal{T},$$

is now called the *autocovariance function*. In standardized form

$$r_{i,j} = \frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_i \gamma_j}, \quad i, j \in \mathcal{T},$$

is called is *autocorrelation function*.

These numerical characteristics of the time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ play an important role in the analysis of the process and its application to modeling real observable phenomena. We say that $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is an uncorrelated process if $r_{i,j} = 0$ for any $i, j \in \mathcal{T}, i \neq j$.

Example.

One of the most important example of a time series is the normal process. The time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be normal (or Gaussian) if any finite subset of \mathcal{T} , say $t_1, t_2, ..., t_T, (X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, ..., X_{t_T}) \equiv \mathbf{x}'_T$ has a multivariate normal distribution, i.e.

$$f(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T}) = (2\pi)^{-T/2} |\mathbf{V}_T|^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_T - \boldsymbol{\mu}_T)' \mathbf{V}_T^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_T - \boldsymbol{\mu}_T)\right],$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T} = E(\mathbf{x}_{T}) = \begin{bmatrix} E(X_{t_{1}}) \\ E(X_{t_{1}}) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ E(X_{t_{T}}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1} \\ \mu_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{T} \end{bmatrix}, and$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{T} = E(\mathbf{x}_{T} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T})(\mathbf{x}_{T} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T})' = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{t_{1}}^{2} & \gamma_{t_{1},t_{2}} & \cdots & \gamma_{t_{1},t_{T}} \\ \gamma_{t_{2},t_{1}} & \gamma_{t_{2}}^{2} & \cdots & \gamma_{t_{2},t_{T}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{t_{T},t_{1}} & \vdots & \cdots & \gamma_{t_{T}}^{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As in the case of a normal random variable, the distribution of a normal time series is characterized by the first two moment but now they are function of t.

One problem so far in the definition of a time series given above is much too general to enable us to obtain a operational probability model. In the analysis of time series we only have a single realization of the process and we will have to deduce the value of μ_t and γ_t^2 with the help of a single observation. (which is impossible !)

The main purpose of the next three sections is to consider various special forms of time series where we can construct probability models which are manageable in the context of statistical inference. Such manageability is achieved by imposing certain restrictions which enable us to reduce the number of unknown parameters involved in order to be able to deduce their value from a single realization. These restrictions come in two forms:

(a). restriction on the *time-heterogeneity* of the process; and

(b). restriction on the *memory* of the process.

3 Model's Restrictions in a Time Series

3.1 Restricting the Time-Heterogeneity

For an arbitrary time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ the distribution function $F(x_t; \theta_t)$ depends on t with the parameter θ_t characterizing it being function of t as well. That is, a time series is time-heterogeneous in general. This, however, raises very difficult issues in modeling real phenomena because usually we only have one observation for each t. Hence in practice we will have to estimate θ_t on the basis of a single observation, which is impossible. For this reason we are going to consider an important class of *stationary* process which exhibit considerable time-homogeneity and can be used to model phenomena approaching their *equilibrium steady-state*, but continuously undergoing "random" functions. This is the class of stationary time series.

Definition. (Strongly Stationary)

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be *strongly stationary* if any subset $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_T)$ of \mathcal{T} and any τ ,

$$F(x_{t_1}, ..., x_{t_T}) = F(x_{t_1+\tau}, ..., x_{t_T+\tau}).$$

That is, the distribution of the process remains unchanged when shifted in time by an arbitrary value τ . In terms of the marginal distributions, (strictly) stationarity implies that

$$F(X_t) = F(X_{t+\tau}), \ t \in \mathcal{T},$$

and hence $F(x_{t_1}) = F(x_{t_2}) = \dots = F(x_{t_T})$. That is stationarity implies that $X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \dots, X_{t_T}$ are (individually) identically distributed.

The concept of stationarity, although very useful in the context of probability theory, is very difficult to verify in practice because it is defined in terms of distribution function. For this reason the concept of the **second** order stationarity, defined in terms of the first two moments, is commonly preferred.

11

$$E(X_t) = \mu \text{ for all } t;$$

$$\gamma_{i,j} = E[(X_i - \mu)(X_j - \mu)] = \gamma_{|i-j|}, \quad i, j \in \mathcal{T}.$$

These suggest that weakly stationarity for $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ implies that its mean μ , and variance $\gamma_t^2 = \gamma_0$ are constant and free of t and its autocovariance depends on the interval |i - j|; not i and j. Therefore, $\gamma_k = \gamma_{-k}$.

Example.

Consider the normal time series in the above example. With the weakly stationarity assumption, now

a sizeable reduction in the number of unknown parameters from T + [T(T+1)/2] to (T+1).

It is important, however, to note that even in the case of stationarity the number of parameters increase with the size of the subset $(t_1, ..., t_T)$ although the parameters do not depend on $t \in \mathcal{T}$.³ This is because time-homogeneity does not restrict the "memory" of the process. In the next section we are going to consider "memory" restrictions in an obvious attempt to 'solve' the problem of the parameters increasing with the size of the subset $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_T)$ of \mathcal{T} .

³To see this, consider
$$\mathbf{V}_{T+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_0 & \gamma_1 & \cdots & \gamma_{T-1} & \gamma_T \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_0 & \cdots & \gamma_{T-2} & \gamma_{T-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{T-1} & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \gamma_0 & \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_T & \vdots & \ddots & \gamma_1 & \gamma_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. With an additional

observation $X_{t_{T+1}}$, we have an additional autocovariance γ_T .

3.2 Restricting the Memory of a Time Series

3.2.1 Asymptotic Independence

In the case of a typical economic times series, viewed as a particular realization of a time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ one would expect that the dependence between X_{t_i} and X_{t_j} would tend to weaken as the distance $(t_j - t_i)$ increase. Formally, this dependence can be described in terms of the joint distribution $F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T})$ as follows:

Definition. (Asymptotically Independent)

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be *asymptotically independent* if for any subset $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_T)$ of \mathcal{T} and any τ , $\beta(\tau)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T}, x_{t_{1+\tau}}, ..., x_{t_{T+\tau}}) - F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, ..., x_{t_T}) F(x_{t_{1+\tau}}, ..., x_{t_{T+\tau}}) \right| \\ & \leq \beta(\tau) \quad \text{goes to zero as } \tau \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

That is if $\beta(\tau) \to 0$ as $\tau \to \infty$, the two subsets $(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, ..., X_{t_T})$ and $(X_{t_{1+\tau}}, ..., X_{t_{T+\tau}})$ become independent.

A particular case of asymptotic independence is that of *m*-dependence which restricts $\beta(\tau)$ to be zero for all $\tau > m$. That is, X_{t_1} and X_{t_2} are independent for $|t_1 - t_2| > m$.

3.2.2 Asymptotic Un-correlation

An alternative way to express the weakening of the dependence between X_{t_i} and X_{t_j} as $|t_j - t_i|$ increases in terms of the autocorrelation function which is a measure of linear dependence.

Definition. (Asymptotically Uncorrelated):

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be asymptotically uncorrelated if for there exists a sequence of constants $\{\rho(\tau), \tau \geq 1\}$ defined by

$$r(t,t+\tau) = \left| \frac{\gamma_{t,t+\tau}}{\gamma_t \gamma_{t+\tau}} \right| \le \rho(\tau), \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathcal{T},$$

such that

$$0 \le \rho(\tau) \le 1$$
 and $\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \rho(\tau) < \infty$.

R 2018 by Prof. Chingnun Lee

As we can see, the sequence of constants $\{\rho(\tau), \tau \ge 1\}$ defines an upper bound for the sequence of autocorrelation coefficients, $r(t, t + \tau)$. Moreover, given that $\rho(\tau) \to 0$ as $\tau \to \infty$ is a necessary and $\rho(\tau) < \tau^{-(1+\delta)}$ for $\delta > 0$, a sufficient condition for $\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \rho(\tau) < \infty$, the intuition underlying the above definition is obvious.

At this stage it is important to note that the above concept of asymptotic independence and un-correlatedness which restrict the memory of a time series are *not* defined in terms of a stationary time series but a general time-heterogeneous process. This is the reason why $\beta(\tau)$ and $\rho(\tau)$ for $\tau \ge 1$ define only upper bounds for the two measures of dependence given that when equality is used in their definition they will depend on $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_T)$ as well as τ .

3.2.3 Ergodicity

In the context of weakly-stationary time series, asymptotic uncorrelatedness can be defined more intuitively in terms of the temporal covariance as follows:

$$Cov(X_t, X_{t+\tau}) = \gamma_{\tau} \to 0 \quad as \ \tau \to \infty.$$

A stronger form of such memory restriction is so called ergodicity property. Ergodicity can be viewed as a condition which ensures that the memory of the process as measured by γ_{τ} "weakens by averaging overtime".

Definition . (Ergodicity)

A weakly-stationary time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be *ergodic* if

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{\tau=0}^{T} \gamma_{\tau} \right) = 0.$$

This also imply $\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{\tau}| < \infty$ and further implies that $\gamma_{\tau} \to 0$.

3.2.4 Mixing Process

A more general formulation of asymptotic independence can be achieved using the concept of a σ -field generated by a random vector. Let \mathcal{F}_1^t denote the σ -field generated by $X_1, X_2, ..., X_T$ where $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is a time series. A measure of the

dependence among the elements of the time series can be defined in terms of the events $B \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^t$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_{t+\tau}^\infty$ by

$$\alpha(\tau) = \sup_{\tau} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)|.$$

Definition . (Strongly Mixing Process):

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be **strongly mixing** $(\alpha - mixing)$ if $\alpha(\tau) \to 0$ as $\tau \to \infty$.

As we can see, this is a direct generalization of the asymptotic independence which is defined in terms of particular events A and B related to the definition of the joint distribution function. In the case where $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is an independent process $\alpha(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau \ge 1$.

Another interesting special case defined above of a mixing process is the mdependent process where $\alpha(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau > m$. In this sense an independent process is a zero-dependent process. The usefulness of the concept of an m-dependent process stems from the fact that commonly in practice any asymptotically independent (or mixing) process can be approximated by such a process for 'large enough' m.⁴

A stronger form of mixing, sometimes called *uniform mixing*, can be defined in terms of the following measure of dependence:

$$\varphi(\tau) = \sup_{\tau} |P(A|B) - P(A)|, \quad P(B) > 0.$$

Definition. (Uniformly Mixing Process): A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be **uniformly mixing** $(\varphi - mixing)$ if $\varphi(\tau) \to 0$ as $\tau \to \infty$.

Looking at the two definitions of mixing we can see that $\alpha(\tau)$ and $\varphi(\tau)$ define absolute and relative measures of temporal dependence, respectively. The former is based on the definition of dependence between two events A and B separated by τ periods using the absolute measure

 $[P(A \cap B) - P(A) \cdot P(B)] \ge 0$

⁴For example, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test in an ARIMA(p, 1, q) model. See Chapter 21 for detail.

and the latter the relative measure

 $[P(A|B) - P(A)] \ge 0.$

Because $\varphi(\tau) \ge \alpha(\tau)$ (why ?),⁵ φ – mixing implies α – mixing.

3.3 Some Equivalent Implications

Definition

A *necessary* condition is in the nature of a prerequisite: suppose that a statement p is true *only if* another statement q is true; then q constitutes a *necessary* condition of p. Symbolically, we express this as follows:

 $p \Longrightarrow q$

which is read:

- (a). "p only if q," or alternative
- (b). "if p, then q". It is also logically correct to mean
- (c). "p implies q", and
- (d). "p is a stronger condition than q" and

(e). $p \subset q$.

⁵By definition, $\varphi(\tau) = [P(A|B) - P(A)] = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} - P(A)$, i.e. $P(B)\varphi(\tau) = P(A \cap B) - P(A) \cdot P(B)] = \alpha(\tau)$. Since 0 < P(B) < 1, we have $\varphi(\tau) \ge \alpha(\tau)$. That is if $\varphi(\tau) = 0$, then $\alpha(\tau)$ must be zero.

4 Some Special time series

We will consider briefly several special time series which play an important role in econometric modeling. These stochastic processes will be divided into **parametric** and **non-parametric** process. The non-parametric process are defined in terms of their joint distribution function or the first few joint moments. On the other hand, parametric process are defined in terms of a generating mechanism which is commonly a functional form based on a non-parametric process.

4.1 Non-Parametric process

4.1.1 White Noise Process

Definition. (White Noise process): A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be a **white-noise** process if

(a).
$$E(X_t) = 0;$$

(b). $E(X_t X_\tau) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 & \text{if } t = \tau \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq \tau. \end{cases}$

Hence, a white-noise process is both time-homogeneous, in view of the fact that it is a weakly-stationary process, and has no memory. In the case where $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is also assumed to be normal the process is also strictly stationary.

Despite its simplicity (or because of it) the concept of a white-noise process plays a very important role in the context of parametric time-series models to be considered next, as a basic building block.

4.1.2 Martingales Process

Definition . (Martingales Process)

Let $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ be a time series defined on $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, P(\cdot))$ and let $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ be a sequence of σ – fields $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$ for all t (i.e. $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is an increasing sequence of σ – fields) satisfying the following conditions:

(a). X_t is a random variable relatives to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

(b). $E(|X_t|) < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

(c). $E(X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = X_{t-1}$, for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be a **martingale** with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$.

That is, a martingale is a model of a fair game where knowledge of past events never helps predict the mean of the future winnings and only the current event matters. In particular, a martingale is a sequence of random variables (i.e., a stochastic process) for which, at a particular time in the realized sequence, the expectation of the next value in the sequence is equal to the present observed value even given knowledge of all prior observed values.

4.1.3 Markov Process

An important class of time series is that of Markov process. These process are based on so- called Markov property that "the future" of the process, given the "present", is independent of the "past".

Definition |. (Markov Process):

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be a **Markov** process if for every Borel function $h(X_t) \in \mathcal{B}_t^{\infty}$ ("the future") such that

$$E|h(X_t)| < \infty,$$

$$E(h(X_t)|\mathcal{B}_{-\infty}^t) = E(h(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}))$$

where $\mathcal{B}^b_{\alpha} = \{\mathcal{F}_t, \alpha < t < b\}.$

4.1.4 Brownian Motion

A particular form of a Markov process with a long history in physics is the so-called Brownian motion (or Wiener) process.

Definition (Brownian Motion):

Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ be a complete probability space. Then $W : \mathcal{S} \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^1$ is a standard Wiener process if each of $r \in [0, 1]$, $W(\cdot, r)$ is \mathcal{F} -measurable, and in addition, (a). The process starts at zero: $\mathcal{P}[W(\cdot, 0) = 0] = 1$.

(b). The increments are independent: if $0 \le a_0 \le a_1 \dots \le a_k \le 1$, then $W(\cdot, a_i) - (\cdot, a_i) \le a_0 \le a_0$

 $W(\cdot, a_{i-1})$ is independent of $W(\cdot, a_j) - W(\cdot, a_{j-1})$, j = 1, ..., k, $j \neq i$ for all i = 1, ..., k. (c). The increments are normally distributed: For $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$, the increment $W(\cdot, b) - W(\cdot, a)$ is distributed as N(0, b - a).

4.2 Parametric Stochastic Processes

The main difference between the type of time series considered so far and the one to be considered in this section is that the latter are defined in terms of a generating mechanism; they are "derived" stochastic processes.

4.2.1 (Weakly) Stationary Process

 $\mathfrak{Definition}$ (AR(1) Process):

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be a *autoregressive of order one* (AR(1)) if it satisfies the stochastic difference equation,

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + u_t$$

where ϕ is a constant and u_t is a white-noise process.

$\mathfrak{Definition}$ (AR(p) Process):

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be a *autoregressive of order* p(AR(p)) if it satisfies the stochastic difference equation,

$$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + u_t,$$

where $\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_p$ are constants and u_t is a white-noise process.

$\mathfrak{Definition} | (MA(q) \text{ Process}):$

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is said to be a moving average process of order q (MA(q)) if it can be expressed in the form

$$X_{t} = u_{t} + \theta_{1}u_{t-1} + \theta_{2}u_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_{q}u_{t-q},$$

where $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_q$ are constants and u_t is a white-noise process.

That is, the white-noise process is used to build the process $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$, being a linear combination of the previous q period white noise, i.e., u_{t-i} 's.

$\mathfrak{Definition}$ (*ARMA*(*p*, *q*) Process):

A time series $\{X_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}\$ is said to be an *autoregressive moving average process of* order p, q (ARMA(p, q)) if it can be expressed in the form

 $X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + u_t + \theta_1 u_{t-1} + \theta_2 u_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q u_{t-q},$

where $\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_p, \theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_q$ are constants and u_t is a white-noise process.

$|\mathfrak{Definition}|$ (*ARFIMA*(*p*, *d*, *q*) Process):

A time series $\{Y_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}\$ is said to be an *fractionally autoregressive integrated* moving average process of order p, d, q (ARFIMA(p, d, q)) if it can be expressed as a stationary ARMA(p, q) process after fractionally-differenced "d" times:

$$(1-L)^d Y_t = X_t,$$

and

$$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + u_t + \theta_1 u_{t-1} + \theta_2 u_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q u_{t-q},$$

where $\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_p, \theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_q$ are constants, |d| < 0.5 and u_t is a white-noise process.

4.2.2 Non-Stationary Process

| **Definition** | (*ARIMA*(p, 1, q) Process):

A time series $\{Y_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}\$ is said to be an *autoregressive integrated moving aver*age process of order p, q (ARIMA(p, 1, q)) if it can be expressed as a stationary ARMA(p, q) process after first-differenced

$$(1-L)^1 Y_t = X_t,$$

and

$$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + u_t + \theta_1 u_{t-1} + \theta_2 u_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q u_{t-q}$$

where $\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_p, \theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_q$ are constants and u_t is a white-noise process.